Call to Action: Preserving and Advocating for Essential Care for Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Erica F. Robinson, MD FACOG, Janelle K. Moulder, MD MSCR FACS FACOG, Matthew L. Zerden, MD MPH FACOG, April M. Miller, MD MPH FACOG, Nikki B. Zite, MD MPH

PII: S0002-9378(20)30548-2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.05.022

Reference: YMOB 13254

To appear in: American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Received Date: 23 April 2020 Revised Date: 7 May 2020 Accepted Date: 11 May 2020

Please cite this article as: Robinson EF, Moulder JK, Zerden ML, Miller AM, Zite NB, Call to Action: Preserving and Advocating for Essential Care for Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.05.022.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.



CRediT author statement

Erica F. ROBINSON: conceptualization, writing- original draft, review, and editing, project administration

Janelle K. MOULDER: conceptualization, writing- original draft, review, and editing, resources, project

administration

Matthew L. ZERDEN: conceptualization, writing- conceptualization, original draft, review, and editing

April M. MILLER: conceptualization, writing- conceptualization, original draft, review, and editing

Nikki B. ZITE: conceptualization, writing- conceptualization, original draft, review, and editing

1	Call to Action: Preserving and Advocating for Essential Care for Women during the COVID-19
2	Pandemic
3	
4	Erica F. ROBINSON MD FACOG ¹ , Janelle K. MOULDER MD MSCR FACS FACOG ¹ , Matthew L. ZERDEN MD
5	MPH FACOG ² , April M. MILLER MD MPH FACOG ¹ , Nikki B. ZITE MD MPH ³
6	
7	¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North
8	Carolina
9	² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, WakeMed Health & Hospitals & Planned Parenthood South
LO	Atlantic, Raleigh, North Carolina
11	³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Tennessee Medical Center—Knoxville,
12	Knoxville, Tennessee
13	
L4 L5	Disclosure: The authors report no conflicts of interest.
16	Corresponding Author:
L7	Erica F. Robinson, MD
. 8	Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
<u> 1</u> 9	Wake Forest School of Medicine
20	Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27157
21	Email: e.robinson@wakehealth.edu
22	Phone: 336-716-5657
23	Fax: 336-716-6937
24	
25	
26	Word Count: 1144
27	
28	Condensation: OBGYNs must combat the unprecedented and unanticipated restrictions on reproductive
29	healthcare introduced during the COVID-19 pandemic.
30	
31	Short Title: Advocating for reproductive healthcare during COVID-19
32	
33 34	
)4	

_				
Δ	n	۲Z	ra	CT

The COVID-19 pandemic has redefined 'essential care', and reproductive healthcare has become a frequently targeted and debated topic. As Obstetricians and Gynecologists (OBGYN), we stand with our patients and others as advocates for women's reproductive health. With the medical and surgical training to provide all aspects of reproductive healthcare, OBGYNs are indispensable and uniquely positioned to advocate for the full spectrum of care that our patients need right now. All patients have a right to these services. Contraception and abortion care remain essential, and we need to work at the local, state and federal level on policies that preserve these critical services. We must also support policies that will promote expansion of care, including lengthening Medicaid pregnancy/postpartum coverage. While we continue to see patients, this is the time to engage outside clinical encounters by participating in lobbying and other advocacy efforts to preserve essential services, protecting the health, life, and welfare of our patients during COVID-19.

Key words: abortion access, contraception, COVID-19 pandemic, obstetrics and gynecology,

reproductive health, women's health

Introduction

COVID-19 poses an unprecedented health and social crisis. Due to the massive strain placed on the healthcare system, a critical need exists to preserve resources. Varying restrictions are appropriately in place, limiting medical care based on regional disease impact and by recommendations from bodies such as The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). "Essential" and "elective" are the terms used to determine what procedures are preserved. By categorizing time-sensitive reproductive health procedures (i.e. abortion), as "non-essential", several states have limited women's reproductive health choices. The status of other procedures, such as sterilization and long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) insertions or removals, have been debated and restricted during the crisis. The maneuvering to impose barriers to women's reproductive autonomy during this time will impact vulnerable groups even more.

As OBGYNs, we occupy a powerful space at the crossroads of politics, social justice, and reproductive rights. This is a call to action for OBGYNs to fight for the preservation of reproductive healthcare. Our call to action highlights areas under threat with concrete solutions to preserve and improve women's reproductive health.

Abortion Care during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Medication Abortions: Reduce barriers

In a 2020 joint statement of leading women's health organizations, abortion is affirmed to be a time-sensitive procedure to which access must be preserved.² With "no-test" protocols, appropriate patients at less than 77 days of gestations, are able to access evidence-based, safe medication abortion without in-person visits. This translates into a large percentage of patients receiving remote care entirely. These "no-test" protocols keep appropriate abortion patients out of clinics and hospitals, minimize the use of

PPE, and maintain social distancing measures. Bans and restrictions on medication abortions are politically motivated, and not based in science. We call on the OBGYN community to fight against recent efforts to restrict abortion and advocate for the removal of current state laws (i.e. waiting periods, mandatory two-visit medication abortion protocols) that place patients and health care workers at increased risk.

Procedural Abortions

During this pandemic, when hospital resource preservation is paramount, procedural abortions in the outpatient setting are a low cost and safe option with minimal PPE utilization. Labeling abortion as a "non-essential" procedure limits access, delays care, and unnecessarily increases the risks to maternal health. Understanding regional healthcare limitations, we advocate that outpatient facilities obtain and maintain the ability to perform procedural abortions. With outpatient abortion access maintained, hospital-based procedural abortions are appropriately limited to the most medically complex patients.

Contraceptive Services during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Permanent Contraception: the role of Medicaid Extension

Postpartum care provides critical services encompassing contraception, mental health, lactation support, and management of chronic diseases. Currently, Medicaid covers more than 40% of all births in the United States, but for women with pregnancy-related Medicaid, coverage typically lapses after 60 days.³ With this in mind, ACOG advocates for Medicaid extension to cover 12 months postpartum with comprehensive care to decrease maternal morbidity and mortality in this period. ³ While ACOG leadership felt this was critical prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the national emergency that ensued highlights the importance of this proposal.

Prior to the pandemic, almost 50% of women desiring permanent sterilization during their delivery admissions would not undergo the procedure⁴ and now this number is likely higher with fewer cases (postpartum and interval sterilization procedures) being performed. Even with current limitations of resources and PPE, postpartum sterilization remains a time-sensitive, essential procedure that should be completed to support the reproductive autonomy of our patients.⁵ Failing to provide this procedure due to the misclassification as "elective" minimizes reproductive choice and does not acknowledge that contraception is even more essential during a time of systemic stress with an uncertain economic future.

Regrettably, if Medicaid coverage lapses or Title XIX consent forms expire during the pandemic, many women will lose their opportunity to obtain a desired sterilization procedure. Fortunately, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has announced the suspension of all reverification during the COVID-19 crisis, and coverage will continue for now. We must advocate for expedited access to all contraceptive options. When a patient is unable to receive a desired method due to necessary COVID-19 restrictions, providers need to educate and counsel about alternate contraceptive methods to prevent this pandemic from leading to more short-interval, and potentially undesired, pregnancies.

Immediate Postpartum Long-acting Reversible Contraception Insertion

Immediate Postpartum (IPP) LARC is the placement of an intrauterine device or implant during a delivery admission. IPP LARC is safe, effective, and well supported by data and patient satisfaction. Facilitating IPP LARC would benefit patients, providers, and institutions, especially during a time when outpatient visits are limited. The lack of reimbursement from private payers is a significant barrier for many women. Now is the time to demand simple guidance for payment from all insurance providers. IPP LARC should be an option for all women following delivery, preserving it where existing and implementing it

at hospitals not currently offering it, as it requires no additional PPE and COVID-19 is not a contraindication.

Continue to Offer Outpatient Contraceptive Management

LARC is highly effective with typical use and has few medical contraindications. LARC use continues to increase, and in populations where its use is widespread, unintended pregnancy and abortions have decreased. Fortunately, contraception counseling is appropriate for virtual or in-person encounters. When a patient desires to have a LARC insertion or removal, and the region is able to accommodate outpatient visits, LARC visits need to occur. We must advocate and create protocols for health care practices to minimize exposure during a LARC procedure. If LARC placement is unavailable or undesired, it is imperative to provide user-controlled methods of contraception (e.g. pill, patch, and ring) and emergency contraception as desired. Refills and new prescriptions for short-acting methods can occur safely by utilizing telemedicine. Preserving access to all contraceptive options will decrease unintended pregnancies and abortions.

Conclusion

Optimization of current standards of practice and elimination of barriers to postpartum and outpatient LARC and sterilization are essential, as is the preservation of abortion care in this unprecedented COVID-19 era. Our patients' reproductive autonomy depends on our commitment to both safely providing these services and advocating for their availability at the health-system, local, state, and national level. Postpartum sterilization procedures and access to abortion are always time-sensitive and essential. The indefinite postponement of postpartum sterilization and the absence of IPP LARC programs frequently leads to hospital discharge without contraception and future unintended pregnancy. Especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, we must dedicate our work and advocacy to eliminating barriers to healthcare

resources, such as mandating unnecessary visits to facilities, regulations against telehealth, and abortion waiting laws. Failing to provide our patients effective contraception and then forcing women to carry undesired pregnancies to term during a medical pandemic and social crisis is immoral. As reproductive health physicians and advocates, we need to "make our voices heard" to ensure access to essential healthcare and preservation of reproductive autonomy.

152		References
153		
154	1.	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Joint Statement on Elective
155		Surgeries. https://www.acog.org/news/news-releases/2020/03/joint-statement-on-
156		elective-surgeries. Published 2020. Updated March 16, 2020. Accessed March 28, 2020.
157	2.	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Joint Statement on Abortion
158		Access during the COVID-19 Outbreak. https://www.acog.org/news/news-
159		releases/2020/03/joint-statement-on-abortion-access-during-the-covid-19-outbreak.
160		Published 2020. Updated March 18, 2020. Accessed March 23, 2020.
161	3.	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Medicaid and Medicare. Policy
162		Priorities Web site. https://www.acog.org/advocacy/policy-priorities/medicaid-and-
163		medicare. Published 2020. Accessed April 1, 2020.
164	4.	Zite N, Wuellner S, Gilliam M. Failure to obtain desired postpartum sterilization: risk and
165		predictors. Obstet Gynecol. 2005;105(4):794-799.
166	5.	Benson LM, T; Tarleton, J; Micks, EA. Society of Family Planning interim clinical
167		recommendations: Contraceptive provision when healthcare access is restricted due to
168		pandemic response. Society of Family Planning. https://societyfp.org/wp-
169		content/uploads/2020/04/SFP-Interim-Recommendations-Contraception-and-COVID-
170		19_04.24.2020.pdf. Published 2020. Updated April 24, 2020. Accessed May 1, 2020.
171	6.	TennCare COVID-19 Frequently Asked Questions. TennCare.
172		https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/tenncare/documents/TennCareCOVID19Frequentl

yAskedQuestions.pdf. Published 2020. Updated April, 2020. Accessed April 21, 2020,

174 2020.